# Agenda Item 10 Revised Staff Recommendation AB 1273

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

June 20, 2013



# **BACKGROUND**

- Staff Recommendation (6/14/13)
  - Commission considered "oppose unless amended" recommendation
  - Instead directed staff to continue discussions w/ Port,
     City, Warriors & State Lands Commission to determine whether issues could be adequately addressed prior to 6/20 meeting
  - Intensive discussions have resulted



### COMMISSION-RAISED ISSUES

- Independent oversight
- Retail Uses
- Project Size
- Provision of Public Benefits
- Balancing Trust and Non-Trust Uses
- Need and Timing of Legislation



- Independent Oversight:
  - Legislation directed Port to determine whether project is consistent with trust requirements
  - New language directs SLC to assess compliance with legislative trust requirements after consulting with BCDC



#### Retail Uses:

- Legislation allows undefined "venue supporting retail" that could include non-trust retail uses
- BCDC staff requested that all retail be trust-consistent
- Warriors intend venue-supporting retail to provide for major sponsors that might not be trust uses
- New language limits non-trust retail to 20,000 square feet (out of 105,000 square feet total retail)



### Project Size:

- Legislation describes project; however, the project is in process of design
- BCDC staff concerned about height and bulk ("massing")
   of structures and up to 500 parking spaces on pier;
   pedestrian/bicycle/circulation impacts on Herb Caen
   Way and Embarcadero
- No resolution due to early design process
- New language: no specific number of parking spaces;
   criteria for minimizing parking in project

#### Public Benefits:

- BCDC staff believes the project will need to include further public benefits (as the previous legislation for Piers 30-32 included public benefits)
- It is not possible to resolve this issue without more design clarity and a transparent public process
- New language requires additional benefits, that are not yet determined
- Preserves BCDC's right to fashion and require a public benefits package

- Balancing Trust and Non-Trust Uses:
  - AB 1273 takes trust determination out of BCDC's hands
  - BCDC staff believes that the arena is not a traditional trust use, but recognizes legislature's right to make that determination
  - Outcomes of discussions reduce non-trust retail and parking
  - Requires additional public benefits
  - Requires SLC review of compliance with the bill
  - Enhances trust uses & preserves BCDC's authority to review other aspects of the project

- Timing and Need for Legislation:
  - Tight legislative deadlines and project design process do not allow specific outcomes re: project size, public benefits, and balance of trust/non-trust uses
  - More time for more detailed/refined project design and public processes could lead to better clarity and more specific resolutions
  - Two-year bill (meaning that the bill can be considered by State Legislature during next calendar year) would provide additional time
  - Other two-year bills: SB 792 and SB 375

# **CONCLUSION**

- Staff has worked intensively to narrow/resolve issues
- All sides have "leaned forward" in good faith
- Additional language provides assurances for Commission
- Additional time could yield more clarity and certainty



# RECOMMENDATION

#### Staff recommends that the Commission:

- Not take a position on the bill, similar to the SLC
- Request that the bill be allowed to become a two-year bill

